PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

To:			
PARK Jang-W	on .	Der	
Jewoo Bidg. 5th Floor, 200, Nonhyun-Dong, Gangnam-Gu 135-010 Seoul Republic of Korea		PCT	
		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE	
		INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	
}		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
		Date of mailing 12 April 2006 (12.04.2006)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference PALGE04057			
		FOR FURTHER ACTION	
International applicat	ion No.	See paragraph 2 below	
PCT/KR 200	Administration of the control of the	ional filing date (day/month/year) Priority Date (day/month/year)	
	100	spreimber 2004 (16.09.2004)	
international Patent C	assification (IPC) or both nat	ional classification and IPC	
		F24F 1/02 (2006.01)	
Applicant			
	L	G ELECTRONICS INC.	
1. This opinion contain	is indications relating to the f	ollowing items	
CORE NO. [Basis of the opinion	B House.	
Cont No. II	Priority		
Cont No. III	Non-establishment of opin	ilon-std.	
Cont. No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	ion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	
Cont. No. V			
Pre	applicability; citations and	Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial explanations supporting such statement	
Cont No. VI	Certain documents cited	and supporting such statement	
Cont. No. VII	Certain defects in the interrogical to the		
Com. No. VIII	Certain observations on the	interestinal application	
	On the	meeting application	
. FURTHER ACTION	1		
	•	•	
If a demand for inter-	national preliminary examinat	ion is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the	
Authority other than	his one to be the IPFA and a	tion is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the PEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an echosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) & Authority will not be so considered.	
fillet Whitten amint.	of this International Co. 1	7 Authorist 71	
	st and incinational Scatching		
If this opinion is			
If this opinion is, as pr	rovided above, considered to	be a written opinion of the IDDA at	
If this opinion is, as p IPEA a written reply t of Form PCT/ISA/220	rovided above, considered to ogether, where appropriate, w or before the expiration of 2	be a written opinion of the IDDA at	
If this opinion is, as pr	rovided above, considered to ogether, where appropriate, w or before the expiration of 2		
If this opinion is, as p. IPEA a written reply to of Form PCT/ISA/220 For further options, se	rovided above, considered to ogether, where appropriate, where appropriate, where appropriate of 2 or before the expiration of 2 or PCT/ISA/220.	be a written opinion of the IDDA at	
If this opinion is, as p. IPEA a written reply to of Form PCT/ISA/220 For further options, se	rovided above, considered to ogether, where appropriate, w or before the expiration of 2	be a written opinion of the IDDA at	
If this opinion is, as p. IPEA a written reply to of Form PCT/ISA/220 For further options, se	rovided above, considered to ogether, where appropriate, where appropriate, where appropriate of 2 or before the expiration of 2 or PCT/ISA/220.	be a written opinion of the IDDA at	
If this opinion is, as p. IPEA a written reply to of Form PCT/ISA/220 For further options, se	rovided above, considered to ogether, where appropriate, where appropriate, where appropriate of 2 or before the expiration of 2 or PCT/ISA/220.	be a written opinion of the IDDA at	
If this opinion is, as p. IPEA a written reply to of Form PCT/ISA/220 For further options, seem further details, seem	rovided above, considered to ogether, where appropriate, where appropriate, where appropriate, where appropriate and of the expiration of 2 and PCT/ISA/220. Otes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	be a written opinion of the IDDA at	
If this opinion is, es p. IPEA a written reply f of Form PCT/ISA/220 For further options, se or further details, see n are and mailing address of	rovided above, considered to ogether, where appropriate, where appropriate, where appropriate, where appropriate, where the expiration of 2 is Form PCT/ISA/220. otes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the vith amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing 2 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.	
If this opinion is, es p. IPEA a written reply to of Form PCT/ISA/220 For further options, see not further details, see not further details, see many and mailing address of Austrian	rovided above, considered to ogether, where appropriate, where appropriate, where appropriate, where appropriate, where the expiration of 2 is Form PCT/ISA/220. otes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing 2 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. Authorized officer	
If this opinion is, as property in the property of Form PCT/ISA/220 For further options, seem for further details, seem for further details, seem and mailing address of Austrian Dresdner Straß	rovided above, considered to ogether, where appropriate, where appropriate, where appropriate, where appropriate, where the expiration of 2 as Form PCT/ISA/220. The form PCT/ISA/220. The first is a AT as a part of the ISA/AT appropriate appropr	be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the vith amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing 2 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.	
If this opinion is, es p. IPEA a written reply to of Form PCT/ISA/220 For further options, see not further details, see not further details, see many and mailing address of Austrian	rovided above, considered to ogether, where appropriate, where appropriate, where appropriate, where appropriate, where the expiration of 2 as Form PCT/ISA/220. The form PCT/ISA/220. The first is a AT as a part of the ISA/AT appropriate appropr	be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing 2 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. Authorized officer	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/KR 2004/002379

Continuation No. I

Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed.

Continuation No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims 15-19 Claims 1-14	YES No
Inventive step (IS)	Claims ——Claims 1-19	YES No
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-19 Claims	YES NO

2. Citations and explanations:

The following documents have been cited in the Search Report:

D1: US 3 366 169 A (LAING); 30-01-1968

D2: DE 16 04 243 A (LAING); 10-12-1970

D3: DE 16 04 240 A (LAING); 03-09-1970

D4: JP 08-285321 A (MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC); 01-11-1996

D5: JP 06-002888 A (SANYO); 11-01-1994

The present invention does not satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33 (2) PCT because the subjectmatter of Claims 1 - 14 is not new in respect of the prior art as defined in the regulations (Rule 64 (1)

Documents D1 to D3 disclose a window type air conditioner disclosing an indoor cross fan and an outdoor cross fan. Said documents further disclose a first and a second outdoor heat exchanger and a

The present invention does not satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33 (3) PCT because the subjectmatter of Claims 15 - 19 does not involve an inventive step in respect of the prior art as defined in the

Document D1 which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses an indoor cross fan and an outdoor cross fan from which the subject-matter of claim 15 differs only in that the indoor fan is a centrifugal fan.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/KR 2004/002379

However, these features have already been employed for the same purpose in a similar window typ air conditioner, see document D4, figures 5 and 6. It appears to be obvious to the man skilled in the affect to a window type air conditioner according to document D1.

In conclusion, claims 1 to 14 can not be considered to be new and involving an inventive step. Claims 15 to 19 can be considered new however not involving an inventive step. The industrial applicability is given.